1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIN	VAN, LLP
2	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice)
	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com Teuta Fani (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
3	viola Trebicka (CA Bai No. 209320) violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com	teuta fani (admitted <i>pro nac vice</i>) teutafani@quinnemanuel.com
4	Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)	Joseph H. Margolies (admitted pro hac vice)
5	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com	josephmargolies@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
	Rachael L. McCracken (Bar No. 252660 rachaelmccracken@quinneamanuel.com	Chicago, IL 60606
6	Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)	Telephone: (312) 705-7400
7	alyolson@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
8	Los Angeles, CA 90017	
9	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	
	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
10	Jomaire Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
11	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
12	D. Seth Fortenbery (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) sethfortenbery@quinnemanuel.com	Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com
13	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
13	New York, NY 10010	Washington D.C., 20005
14	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100	Telephone: (202) 538-8000 Facsimile: (202) 538-8100
15		
16		
17	Counsel for Defendant Google LLC	
	Additional counsel on signature pages	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION	
20	_	•
21	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT, JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
	CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO, individually and on behalf of themselves and	GOOGLE LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE
22	all others similarly situated,	MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF GOOGLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
23	Plaintiffs,	MOTION TO EXCLUDE PLAINTIFFS' LATE DISCLOSED AND IRRELEVANT
24	·	WITNESS BLAKE LEMOINE (DKT. 1015)
25	VS.	Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
	GOOGLE LLC,	vaage. Hom. I vollie Gonzalez Rogers
26	Defendant.	
27		
28		C. N. 420 - 02//4 WCB CVV
		Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5, Defendant Google LLC ("Google") respectfully seeks to seal certain portions of Google's Reply in Support of Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' Late Disclosed and Irrelevant Witness Blake Lemoine (Dkt. 1015) ("Google's Reply"), which contains non-public, highly sensitive personnel information.

This administrative motion pertains to the following information contained in Google's Reply:

Document	Portions to be Filed Under Seal	Party Claiming Confidentiality
Google LLC's Reply in	Highlighted Portions at:	Google
Support of Motion to		
Exclude Plaintiffs' Late	pp. 5:12-19	
Disclosed and Irrelevant		
Witness Blake Lemoine		
(Dkt. 1015)		

II. LEGAL STANDARD

A party seeking to seal material must "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civ. L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id*.

In the context of dispositive motions, materials may be sealed in the Ninth Circuit upon a showing that there are "compelling reasons" to seal the information. See Kamakana v. City & Cty. Of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179-80 (9th Cir. 2006). However, a party seeking to seal information in a non-dispositive motion must show only "good cause." Id. at 1179-80. The rationale for the lower standard with respect to non-dispositive motions is that "the public has less of a need for access to court records attached only to non-dispositive motions because these documents are often unrelated, or only tangentially related, to the underlying cause of action" and that as a result "[t]he public policies that support the right of access to dispositive motions, and related materials, do not apply with equal force to non-dispositive materials." Kamakana, 447 F.3d at 1179; see also TVIIM, LLC v. McAfee, Inc., 2015 WL 5116721, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 28, 2015) ("Records attached to

Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

nondispositive motions are not subject to the strong presumption of access.") (citation omitted). Under the more exacting "compelling reasons" standard, courts will seal "highly sensitive personnel documents concerning non-party employees." Cowan v. GE Cap. Retail Bank, 2015 WL 1324848, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 2015) (internal quotations omitted). III. THE ABOVE IDENTIFIED MATERIALS SHOULD ALL BE SEALED Courts have found it appropriate to seal documents where certain information contained therein could be used for scandalous or libelous purposes. Compliance Servs. of Am., LLC v. Houser Holdings, LLC, No. 13-CV-01269-JST, 2014 WL 1389474, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2014). And as discussed supra, even under the compelling reasons standard, courts have granted motions to seal highly sensitive personnel information regarding non-party employees. Cowan, 2015 WL 1324848 at *3. Here, good cause exists to seal the above-referenced portions of Google's Reply. The material sought to be sealed contains sensitive personnel information regarding a non-party former employee that should not be exposed to the public. Spilly Decl. PP 2-3. The information Google seeks to redact is the minimal amount of information needed to protect these sensitive personnel matters from unnecessary disclosure. The "good cause" rather than the "compelling reasons" standard should apply but under either standard, Google's sealing request is warranted. IV. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court seal the identified portions of Google's Reply.

DATED: November 1, 2023 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP By /s/ Andrew H. Schapiro Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted pro hac vice) andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com Teuta Fani (admitted *pro hac vice*) teutafani@quinnemanuel.com Joseph H. Margolies (admitted pro hac vice) josephmargolies@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700 Chicago, IL 60606 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

GOOGLE LLC'S ADMIN MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF GOOGLE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

TO EXCLUDE PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS BLAKE LEMOINE

1	Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
2	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)
3 4	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)
5	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com Crystal Nix-Hines (Bar No. 326971)
6	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com Rachael L. McCracken (Bar No. 252660
7	rachaelmccracken@quinneamanuel.com
8	Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705) alyolson@quinnemanuel.com
9	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017
9	Telephone: (213) 443-3000
10	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
11	Jomaire Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
12	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
13	D. Seth Fortenbery (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) sethfortenbery@quinnemanuel.com
	51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor
14	New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000
15	Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
16	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
17	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
18	Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)
	carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
19	Washington D.C., 20005
20	Telephone: (202) 538-8000 Facsimile: (202) 538-8100
21	
22	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
۷٥	3 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK